CHARLES OF SUBJECT OF STREET, CONTRACTOR

(Original Signature of Member)

106TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H.R.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Burton (for himself [see attached list of cosponsors]) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on

A BILL

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to restrict the authority of the Food and Drug Administration to issue clinical holds regarding investigational drugs or to deny patients expanded access to such drugs.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

HL

| 1 | SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. |
|----|---|
| 2 | This Act may be cited as the "Thomas Navarro FDA |
| 3 | Patient Rights Act". |
| 4 | SEC. 2. INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUGS; RESTRICTIONS ON |
| 5 | AGENCY AUTHORITY REGARDING CLINICAL |
| 6 | HOLDS ON TRIALS AND EXPANDED ACCESS |
| 7 | FOR PATIENTS. |
| 8 | (a) CLINICAL HOLDS.—Section 505(i)(3) of the Fed- |
| 9 | eral Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(i)(3)) |
| 10 | is amended by adding at the end the following subpara- |
| 11 | graph: |
| 12 | "(D) The Secretary may not under clause |
| 13 | (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B) place a clinical |
| 14 | hold on an investigation of a drug on the basis |
| 15 | that the Secretary has determined that— |
| 16 | "(i) there is another drug (including |
| 17 | another investigational drug) that is or |
| 18 | may be a safe and effective therapy for the |
| 19 | disease or condition involved; or |
| 20 | "(ii) there is a comparable or satisfac- |
| 21 | tory alternative therapy available for a pa- |
| 22 | tient who is receiving or will receive the |
| 23 | drug as a clinical subject in the investiga- |
| 24 | tion, except that such restriction on the |
| 25 | authority of the Secretary applies only if |

the patient declares in writing that the pa-

26

tient is aware of the comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy, is aware of the risk involved in receiving the drug in the investigation, and chooses to receive the drug notwithstanding such risk and notwithstanding the comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy."

(b) EXPANDED ACCESS.—

- (1) Individual patient access.—Section 561(b)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360bbb(b)(1)) is amended by inserting before the semicolon the following: ", except that such conditions for the receipt by the person of the investigational drug do not apply if the person declares in writing that the person is aware that there is a comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy, is aware of the risk involved in receiving the investigational drug, and chooses to receive the drug not-withstanding such risk and notwithstanding the comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy".
- (2) TREATMENT APPLICATION.—Section 561(c)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360bbb(c)(2)) is amended by inserting before the semicolon the following: ", except that such condition for the receipt by a patient of an in-

| 1 | vestigational drug does not apply if the patient de- |
|---|--|
| 2 | clares in writing that the patient is aware that there |
| 3 | is a comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy, |
| 4 | is aware of the risk involved in receiving the inves- |
| 5 | tigational drug, and chooses to receive the drug not- |
| 5 | withstanding such risk and notwithstanding the |
| 7 | comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy". |
| | |

Original Co-Sponsors for the Thomas Navarro FDA Patient's Rights Act as Introduced by Dan Burton of Indiana on February 16, 2000

Bob Barr of Georgia
Joe Barton of Texas
John Doolittle of California
Ben Gilman of New York
Stephen Horn of California
Walter Jones of North Carolina
Ray Lahood of Illinois
John McHugh of New York
David McIntosh of Indiana
Carrie Meek of Florida
Ron Paul of Texas
Jim Ryun, Kansas
Joe Scarborough of Florida
Bob Stump of Arizona